

**Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Application Ranking Summary  
Chaves-Hagerman-Dexter SWCD**

<b>Program:</b> EQIP 2002	<b>Ranking Date:</b>
<b>Ranking Tool:</b> Chaves-Hagerman-Dexter SWCD	
<b>Final Ranking Score:</b>	
<b>Planner:</b>	
<b>Farm Location:</b>	

**National Priorities Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

**State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

**Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Graze#1- Are practices planned in 3 years or less?	50 Point(s)
2. Graze#2- Does the applicant have a favorable history of completing contract work? (if n/a yes)	75 Point(s)
3. Graze#3- Will fence be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	5 Point(s)
4. Graze#4- Will fence be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	2 Point(s)
5. Graze#5- Will pumping plant be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	15 Point(s)
6. Graze#6- Will pumping plant be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	8 Point(s)
7. Graze#7- Will well be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	35 Point(s)
8. Graze#8- Will well be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	15 Point(s)
9. Graze#9- Will pipeline be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	50 Point(s)

**Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Application Ranking Summary  
Chaves-Hagerman-Dexter SWCD**

<b>Issue Questions</b>	<b>Responses</b>
10. Graze#10- Will pipeline be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	25 Point(s)
11. Graze#11- Will water facility be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	50 Point(s)
12. Graze#12- Will water facility be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	25 Point(s)
13. Graze#13- Will brush management be installed that meets 80% or more of needed?	80 Point(s)
14. Graze#14- Will brush management be installed that meets less than 80% of needed?	40 Point(s)
15. Graze#15- Is the rangeland health score 100-145?	40 Point(s)
16. Graze#16- Is the rangeland health score 71-99?	20 Point(s)
17. Irrigated#1- Are practices planned for 3 years or less?	40 Point(s)
18. Irrigated#2- Will the system installed discontinue the use of an irrigation reservoir?	60 Point(s)
19. Irrigated#3- Does the applicant have a favorable history in completing contract work? (if n/a yes)	65 Point(s)
20. Irrigated#4- Is nutrient management being applied or will be applied during the contract based on soil testing?	40 Point(s)
21. Irrigated#5- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 5-9%?	5 Point(s)
22. Irrigated#6- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 10-19%?	10 Point(s)
23. Irrigated#7- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 20-29%?	20 Point(s)
24. Irrigated#8- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of 30-39%?	30 Point(s)
25. Irrigated#9- Will practices result in a net increase in irrigation efficiency of greater than 39%?	45 Point(s)
26. Irrigated#10- Is a LESA/LEPA, solid set or drip system requiring 25 psi or less being installed?	110 Point(s)
27. Irrigated#11- Is a LESA/LEPA, solid set or drip system requiring more than 25 psi being installed?	40 Point(s)
28. Irrigated#12- Is a structure for water control being installed?	10 Point(s)
29. Irrigated#13- Is a irrigation pipeline being installed?	30 Point(s)